This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unto.cov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/429,080 '	10/29/1999	IDESAWA MASANORI	1794-0123P	5498	
75	590 09/12/2003				
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 220400747		MEYER, DAVID C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2878		
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			an			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
	09/429,080	MASANORI ET A	L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	David C. Meyer	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	Idress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	October 1999 .					
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11,-453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 October 1999</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority document 						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (P				

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Ex parte Quayle

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

Both the specification and claims are objected to for minor informalities. Although the composition and function of the instant invention can be gleaned from the application, the specification and claims are grammatically cumbersome, possibly because they are a translation of a Japanese priority document. General clarification, particularly of the claims, is required.

The examiner offers the following as examples of places where clarification and correction are needed:

Throughout the specification, dividing has been misspelled as "deviding".

In the specification, page 2, line 8 (and elsewhere), the applicant refers to the "center-of-gravitational position of incident light". This phrase is unfamiliar to the examiner and is not clearly defined by the applicant.

In the claims, the applicant refers to "distributions of electric current density." It is understood that incident light causes current to flow in respective portions of the claimed photoelectric layer. It is sufficient to refer to these as "currents", "photocurrents", or "photoelectric currents". The phrase "distributions of electric current density" is redundant and makes the claim difficult to understand. Claim 2 is especially difficult to understand as currently written.

Application/Control Number: 09/429,080

Art Unit: 2878

In claim 1, the applicant recites that "the photoelectric current subtracted by means of said resistance [is] adapted to flow into said resistance layer" (lines 22-23). Which current is adapted to flow into the resistance layer? Is it the predetermined current, the input current, or the difference current resulting from the subtraction step?

In claim 1, the applicant refers to "a portion" onto which light is input (lines 3 and 7). Later, the applicant refers to "the whole sensing sections altogether" (lines 16 and 21). The examiner presumes the latter phrase is meant to refer to a plurality of said "portions," but the as currently written claim lacks sufficient antecedent basis for "the whole sensing sections."

In claim 4, line 1, the word "device" is missing.

The examiner requests the applicant's cooperation in identifying other places, apart from the examples given above, where the specification and claims can be further clarified.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-4 objected due to minor informalities (see above), but would be allowable if rewritten to overcome said informalities. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record includes semiconductor devices used to capture an image. Idesawa (US 5,113,063) and

Art Unit: 2878

McElvain (US 6,208,006) provide examples of such devices. These devices variously employ photoconductive layers, dividing resistance layers, and output terminals. However, these devices lack a resistance that subtracts a predetermined current from currents generated in respective portions of a photoconductive layer, and wherein a difference current flows into a resistance layer and to current output terminals. The prior art also includes circuits comprising a plurality of photodetectors, the currents from which are subjected to a subtraction function performed by amplifier devices. (See Saunders (US 5,635,705) and Caiozza (US 5,396,280).) These devices lack the claimed resistance layer laminated on a photoconductive layer, as well as a resistance, which performs the subtraction function as claimed. The examiner will provide additional "Reasons for Allowance" as appropriate based on the corrected application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David C. Meyer whose telephone number is 703-305-7955. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on 703-308-4852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0935.

DCM August 29, 2003